

**SUPERIOR COURT - STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE**

**-o0o-**

**PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, )**

**Plaintiff, )**

**vs. )**

**Case No. RIF2'**

**IGRISELDA : )**

**Defendant. )**

**REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS**

**BEFORE THE HONORABLE FRANCISCO J. NAVARRO - DEPARTMENT 45**

**JULY 9, 2026**

**APPEARANCES:**

**For the Plaintiff:**

**OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY  
By: KARISSA CORONA  
3960 Orange Street  
Riverside, California 92501**

**For the Defendant:**

**KIA LAW FIRM  
By: KIA FEYZJOU  
4275 Main Street  
Riverside, California 92501**

**CERTIFIED  
TRANSCRIPT**

**Reported by:**

**KLARISSA YANEZ, CSR 14393**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**SESSIONS INDEX**

**JULY 9, 2026**  
**Morning Session**

**PAGE**  
**3**

JULY 9, 2026 - MORNING SESSION

BEFORE THE HONORABLE FRANCISCO J. NAVARRO

THE COURT: The Court is calling the case of the People of the State of California versus Igriselda o. This is RIF23

If I can have appearances, please.

MR. FEYZJOU: Good morning, Your Honor. Kia Feyzjou on behalf of Ms. o, who is present and being assisted by the Spanish language interpreter.

MS. CORONA: Good morning, Your Honor. Karissa Corona for the People.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

Ms. o, as you know by this time, whenever we have any discussions about your case outside your presence, it is my practice to summarize those discussions. So we did have an in-chambers discussion where I informed the parties that I had reviewed the defense's opposition -- or response to the People's opposition to the Court giving you judicial diversion in this particular case.

The reason that we're even on calendar today is because, in the People's motion, my understanding of the facts were different from what was stated in that document and in that motion, particularly, there was the issue of whether or not there was any stabbing motion or attempts to stab in the alleged altercation. So in reviewing the defense's report, I did not see anything specifically in regards to that, so we had a discussion with both counsel, and I had the People read the portion of the report dealing with the percipient witness about

1 what exactly they saw you do with the box cutter.

2 Now, that was important to the Court because my  
3 understanding was that there was not any stabbing motion. I  
4 made that very clear in my comments after the preliminary  
5 hearing that that was an important fact, so I needed to get that  
6 clarified before I made any decisions regarding judicial  
7 diversion.

8 As I mentioned to you before, this is not something  
9 that I lightly do, nor have I given judicial diversion on a case  
10 where the facts are similar and involved serious allegations, so  
11 I wanted to make sure that I had an understanding of the facts  
12 before I made that decision.

13 The People are still in opposition of the Court giving  
14 judicial diversion based on the conduct, based on the injury,  
15 but now that that issue has been taken care of and the facts are  
16 what I initially believed them to be, which there was no  
17 evidence of any stabbing motion, only a waving around of the box  
18 cutter during an argument -- I have gone over the facts quite a  
19 few times -- I am willing, over the People's objection, to put  
20 you into the judicial diversion program.

21 The Court had previously stated that the terms would be  
22 that you will be on diversion for a period of one year; that you  
23 would have to do 40 hours of anger management; you would have to  
24 have no new-law violations; you cannot possess or own any  
25 weapons during this time; you have to pay restitution in the  
26 amount of \$1,392.78. You would have to do that upfront and  
27 provide it to the court so that it can be given to the victim in  
28 the case. There is a protective order in place that you would

1 have to continue to abide by. Then we would set this out a year  
2 in order for you to comply. You have actually done some of  
3 these things already or intend to do.

4 So what would essentially happen is that as long as you  
5 don't pick up any new-law violations or violate the protective  
6 order in any way, the case would be dismissed.

7 So, first, Ms. do you have an understanding  
8 of all of the Court's terms as part of judicial diversion?

9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Do you understand that if you violated any  
11 of these terms or failed to comply with any of these terms, that  
12 criminal proceedings would be reinstated, and then you would be  
13 back in the same position as you are in now?

14 Do you understand that?

15 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

16 THE COURT: Have you had the opportunity to discuss all  
17 of this with your attorney, and are you willing to accept the  
18 Court's terms?

19 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

20 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Corona, would you like to  
21 state anything for the record before the Court goes forward with  
22 the diversion?

23 MS. CORONA: Just as Your Honor previously mentioned,  
24 we're still opposed based on the conduct. Even though it wasn't  
25 mentioned that it was a stabbing, there was waving around of a  
26 box cutter. She should have never approached and confronted her  
27 with a box cutter to begin with. No matter the manner or how  
28 she was injured, it is still concerning conduct, and we would

1 submit on that.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Feyzjou, anything to add?

3 MR. FEYZJOU: Submitted.

4 THE COURT: Ms. , I don't disagree with what  
5 the People just stated; however, the only reason that the Court  
6 is giving you judicial diversion is because there were a lot of  
7 mitigating circumstances. It was definitely out of character,  
8 you have no previous criminal history, physically and mentally  
9 you were going through a lot, and I believe that's what explains  
10 the conduct, and the only reason that I am going forward is  
11 because I am comfortable this is not something that you would  
12 get yourself involved with ever again. I can't stress that  
13 enough. Obviously, this is not okay behavior, but, again,  
14 because of everything I had previously mentioned with regards to  
15 all of the mitigating factors, that's the only reason that the  
16 Court is doing what it is doing.

17 First, do you understand that you do have a right to a  
18 speedy trial, which would have been 30 or 45 days, depending on  
19 whether you were in or out of custody when you were initially  
20 arraigned? In order to be part of this program, you have to  
21 waive your right to a speedy trial and agree that your trial, if  
22 necessary, would be timely if held within two years from today's  
23 date.

24 Do you understand that?

25 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

26 THE COURT: And do you agree that your trial would be  
27 timely if held within two years from today's date?

28 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

1           THE COURT: All right. Mr. Feyzjou, do you join in the  
2 waiver?

3           MR. FEYZJOU: I do, Your Honor.

4           THE COURT: So at this time, the Court is going to go  
5 ahead and place you under judicial diversion pursuant to  
6 Penal Code Section 1001.95. The Court has reviewed the terms  
7 with you, and I do see that both you and counsel as well as the  
8 interpreter have signed off on the terms, so the Court will find  
9 that you understand the terms and you are willing to abide by  
10 the terms, and so I will put you into the judicial diversion  
11 program. We will set a future date for July 9, 2027, at 8:30 in  
12 the morning in this department to hopefully show compliance in  
13 regards to that.

14           I do want to mention that I have been informed you are  
15 going to be paying the restitution today, and I do have proof  
16 that you have completed the 40 hours of anger management, so  
17 I'll show that that part has already been taken care of, and the  
18 intent is to take care of the restitution today.

19           We'll show that that amount has already been posted in  
20 the trust account, so that term has been complied with as well.

21           And then I just -- I failed to ask the interpreter to  
22 identify themselves for the record. So if you can please do  
23 that now, sir.

24           THE INTERPRETER: Good morning, Your Honor. Luis  
25 Bueno, Spanish-certified court interpreter, JCC Number 317796.  
26 Oath on file.

27           THE COURT: All right. Anything further?

28           MR. FEYZJOU: Nothing further.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**THE COURT: Thank you.**  
**(Proceedings concluded.)**

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

IGRISELDA

Defendant.


Case No. RIF223 11

I, Klarissa Yanez, Certified Shorthand Reporter

Number 14393, do hereby certify:

On July 9, 2026, in the County of Riverside, State of California, I took in stenotype a true and correct report of the testimony given and proceedings had in the above-entitled case, pages 3-8, and that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcription of my stenotype notes and is the whole thereof.

DATED: Riverside, California; July 20, 2026.

  
KLARISSA YANEZ, CSR 14393